# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2007-1459-AGR-E TCEQ ID: RN102754892 CASE NO.: 34594 RESPONDENT NAME: JOCHUM SCHIEVINK DBA JOCHUM SCHIEVINK DAIRY

	ORDER TYPE:							
AMENDED ORDER AIR	X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER						
CASE TYPE:  _AIR	FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	1 <del>-</del>					
AIR	_AMENDED ORDER	AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER						
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION  X WATER QUALITY SEWAGE SLUDGE UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL  MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE RADIOACTIVE WASTE DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION  SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: East side of County Road 285 approximately two miles south of the intersection of County Road 285 and Farm-to-Market Road 219, approximately ten miles southeast of Dublin, Erath County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Concentrated animal feeding operation  SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility or location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on June 23, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Mary R. Risner, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-6224  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-6224  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-6019  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Air Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-5363	CASE TYPE:							
X_WATER QUALITY SEWAGE SLUDGE UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE RADIOACTIVE WASTE DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION  SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: East side of County Road 285 approximately two miles south of the intersection of County Road 285 and Farm-to-Market Road 219, approximately ten miles southeast of Dublin, Erath County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Concentrated animal feeding operation  SMALL BUSINESS: _X_Yes No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility or location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on June 23, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Mary R. Risner, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-6224  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Air Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-5363	AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	I <del></del>					
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTERADIOACTIVE WASTEDRY CLEANER REGISTRATION  SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: East side of County Road 285 approximately two miles south of the intersection of County Road 285 and Farm-to-Market Road 219, approximately ten miles southeast of Dublin, Erath County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Concentrated animal feeding operation  SMALL BUSINESS:X_ Yes No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility or location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on June 23, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Mary R. Risner, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-6024  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Air Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-5363	PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION					
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: East side of County Road 285 approximately two miles south of the intersection of County Road 285 and Farm-to-Market Road 219, approximately ten miles southeast of Dublin, Erath County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Concentrated animal feeding operation  SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility or location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on June 23, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Mary R. Risner, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-6224  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Air Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-5363	X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE						
County Road 285 and Farm-to-Market Road 219, approximately ten miles southeast of Dublin, Erath County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Concentrated animal feeding operation  SMALL BUSINESS: _X_ Yes No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility or location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on June 23, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Mary R. Risner, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-6224  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Air Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-5363	MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION					
Respondent: Mr. Jochum Schievink, 3066 County Road 285, Dublin, Texas 76446 Respondent's Attorney: Mr. Heath E. Allen, Law Office of Warren and Allen, Warren Building, 240 East Washington Street, Stephenville, Texas 76401	TYPE OF OPERATION: Concentrated and SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: The regarding this facility or location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other the COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Region Contacts AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Mary R. Risman, Lena Robert TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:  TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Sid Respondent: Mr. Jochum Schievin Respondent's Attorney: Mr. Heath	mal feeding operation  No re are no complaints. There is no record of adden the ED and the Respondent has expressed and the ED and the ED and the Respondent has expressed and the ED and the ED and the Respondent has expressed and the ED and t	ditional pending enforcement actions  n interest in this matter.  8. No comments were received.  224 9 MC 149, (512) 239-5363 ) 761-3007 6					

# RESPONDENT NAME: JOCHUM SCHIEVINK DBA JOCHUM SCHIEVINK DAIRY DOCKET NO.: 2007-1459-AGR-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$2,550	Technical Requirements
Complaint Routine	Total Deferred: \$0	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	<ul><li>Expedited Order</li><li>Financial Inability to Pay</li><li>SEP Conditional Offset</li></ul>	1. Within 15 days:
Date of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$250/\$2,300	a. Develop and implement procedures to ensure that discharges are reported; and
Dates of Investigation Relating to this Case: May 3, 2007	The Respondent has paid \$250 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of	b. Develop and implement procedures to ensure that sampling and analysis requirements are done.
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: August 23, 2007	\$2,300 of the administrative penalty shall be paid in 10 monthly payments of \$230 each.	2. Within 30 days, dewater the retention control
Background Facts:	Site Compliance History Classification: High _X_ Average Poor	structure ("RCS") and restore the rainfall capacity of the RCS.
The EDPRP was filed on March 20, 2008. The Respondent signed an Agreed Order on April 25, 2008.	Person Compliance History Classification:High _X_ AveragePoor	3. Within 45 days, submit written certification of compliance with these Ordering Provisions.
WQ:	Major Source: Yes X No	
1. Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of agricultural wastewater from a CAFO [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.31(a) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)].	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
2. Failed to orally notify the executive director and appropriate regional office within 24 hours of a discharge from a CAFO [30 Tex. ADMIN CODE § 321.44(a) and General Permit No. TXG920211, Part IV B.5].		
3. Failed to timely sample and analyze an unauthorized discharge [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.44(b) and General Permit No. TXG920211, Part III A.5(c)].		

The state of the s	Penalty Calculatio	n Worksheet (F	PCW)	
Policy Revision 2 (Septe	ember 2002)	(	PCW Revisio	on June 26, 2007
DATES Assigned PCW	28-Aug-2007   Screening 10-Sep-2007	EPA Due		
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	NEORMATION		Alle Rei Minnell Rendered für Leit der No	
	Jochum Schievink dba Jochum Schievink D	Dairy		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.				
Facility/Site Region	1-Dallas/Fort Worth	Major/Minor Source	e Minor	110 North of Brief (Brief)
CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.		No. of Violation	L	
Docket No. 2 Media Program(s)	2007-1459-AGR-E Nater Quality	Order Type Enf. Coordinato	774	_
Multi-Media	value Quanty		n EnforcementTeam 3	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000		
	Penalty Calcula			
TOTAL BASE PENAL	ΓΥ (Sum of violation base penaltic	e <b>s</b> )	Subtotal 1	\$2,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) Subtotals 2-7 are obtained	TO SUBTOTAL 1  ed by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by	y the indicated percentage.		
Compliance Histo		Enhancement Sub	totals 2, 3, & 7	\$50
Notes	A 2% enhancement is recommended for similar violations	-		
Culpability	No 0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
	The state of the s			ΨΟ
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	e culpability chiena.		
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 0%  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
Extraordinary				
Ordinary _ N/A	X (mark with x)			
	B /		7 .	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	e good faith criteria.		
	and the part of the state of th	Enhancement* d at the Total EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
Αμμιολί. Ο	91,000			
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	(1- <b>7</b> ) : 이번 보고 (1) 중인 회사 수		Final Subtotal	\$2,550
	JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE  btotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only	y; e.g30 for -30%.)	Adjustment	\$0
Notes				
L		Final Pe	enalty Amount	\$2,550
en gant en en groot de en gebeur en en en	egisk i film set i dir. A set	Santa and a second of the		
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT	Final Ass	essed Penalty	\$2,550
DEFERRAL		0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
	ty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g		Adjustment	<u></u> ΨU
Notes	Deferral not offered for non-expe	edited settlement.		en de contra de la compansión de la comp
		·	<b></b>	
ΡΔΥΔΒΙ Ε ΡΕΝΔΙ ΤΥ	[19] 1866년 및 10 1886년 - 12 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		Margar Caracan	\$2.550

Screening Date 10-Sep-2007

#### Docket No. 2007-1459-AGR-E

**PCW** 

Respondent Jochum Schievink dba Jochum Schievink Dairy

Case ID No. 34594

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision June 26, 2007

Adjust.

Enter Number Here

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102754892

Media [Statute] Water Quality

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)
Component Number of...

NOVs

Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short

(number of NOVs meeting criteria)

# **Compliance History Worksheet**

Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action

		[,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1		
		Other written NOVs	1	2%	
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%	
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%	
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	. 0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
		Pleas	se Enter Yes or No	<u></u>	
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	Nο	0%	
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	,	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	t Violator (Su No	Adjustment Pe	ercentage (Su	ubtotal 3)	2% 0%
omp		/ Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		•	,41,54 
l	Average Po	erformer Adjustment Pe	∍rcentage (Sı	ubtotal 7) 🔃	0%
omp	liance History	y Summary ( ) The Page 12 to the page 12 to the control of the con			
	Compliance History Notes	A 2% enhancement is recommended for having one NOV for non-similar violation	าร.		
		Total Adjustment Percentage		_	2%

Scre	ening Date	10-Sep-2007	COLUMN CONTROL	Doo	ket No. 2007-145	9-AGR-E		PCW
			ievink dba Joc	hum Schievink D	airy		Policy Re	vision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No.						PC	W Revision June 26, 2007
Reg. Ent. Ref								
1	ia [Statute]		-					
1	coordinator		t ╗					
Viola	ation Number	1						
	Rule Cite(s)	. 30	Tex. Admin. C	Code § 321.31(a)	and Tex. Water Cod	de § 26.121(a)		
Violation	n Description	concentrat	ted animal fee	ding operation ("(	harge of agriculture CAFO"). Specifically sstewater from a rete the Facility.	on May 3, 200	7 the	
						Base F	Penalty[	\$10,000
>> Environmental	, Property a	nd Human		rix				
	Release	Molor	Harm	Minar		ŧ		
OR	Actual	Major	Moderate	Minor x				
	Potential				Percent	10%		
>>Programmatic I						•		
	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor				
					Percent	0%		
							<del></del>	
Matrix					nsignificant amounts		ich do	
Notes	not	exceed levels	that are prote	ective of human h	ealth or environmen	tal receptors.		
	<u> </u>						الحسطا	
					Adjustmen	ţ	\$9,000	,
							L	\$1,000
Violation Events		YARAN KATA					J. W. W.	
Torrespond to the galar cantage	normalis part feetalens	or with a market the week to a stational and a	mary parties on straight her habital	REPLANDING TO THE SECTION OF THE	11 11. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12.	Self (militari di dipulpo e minito di 1904), con il	#3.1.40.384	
	Number of Vio	lation Events	1		130 Number o	f violation days		
		ration of the same		1				
		daily monthly						· ·
	mark only one	quarterly			V	/iolation Base P	enalty	\$1,000
	with an x	semiannual			•	Totalion Dase 1	charty_	Ψ1,000
		annual						
		single event	X					
[								
		÷	One sind	gle event is recor	nmondod			
			Oire aut	gio overit ia recor	illiteriaea.			
L								
Economic Benefit	(EB) for thi	s violation			Statutor	y Limit Test		
***************************************	Estimated	EB Amount		\$52	Violati	on Final Penalty	/ Total	\$1,020
			TI	his violation Fin	al Assessed Penalt	v (adjusted for	limite\	\$1,020
	regressings gad			Treadlett i III		, jaujusteu 101		Ψ1,020

The state of the s	E	conomic	Benefit W	ork	sheet	The second secon	
•		ink dba Jochum S	chievink Dairy				
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
Media Violation No.	Water Quality 1		•			Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
				i seleti. Littiriki.		5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs						<u> </u>	
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	.\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	3-May-2007	13-May-2008	1.0	\$52	n/a	\$52
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	in which	the unauthorized	discharge occurred	and th	e final date is the e	RCS. The date requexpected date of compone-time avoided c	npliance.
	ANN	IOALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e				
Disposal Personnel				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0		\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment	-		<del></del>	0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0		\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.0	1 20	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,000			TOTAL		\$52

	ening Date 10-Sep-2007		<b>cket No.</b> 2007-1459-AGR-E		PCW
		vink dba Jochum Schievink Da	airy	Policy Rev	vision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No. 34594			PCI	W Revision June 26, 2007
	erence No. RN102754892				
	ia [Statute] Water Quality				
	coordinator Samuel Short				
VIOIA	Rule Cite(s)				
	30 Tex. Adr	nin. Code § 321.44(a) and Ge	neral Permit No. TXG920211,	Part IV B.5.	
Violation	n Description hours of a	ally notify the executive director discharge from a CAFO. Spe a discharge of wastewater at the	cifically, on May 3, 2007 the in	vestigator	
				Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental	Property and Human I	lealth Matrix			
	<ul> <li>In the second of the second sec</li></ul>	Harm	o entre estra de l'attenti de l'entre de l'entre de l'attent (de l'attent de l'entre de	95.002	
OR	Release Major Actual	Moderate Minor			
	Potential		Percent	0%	
			1 Groom	<u> </u>	
>>Programmatic N	<b>Natrix</b>			•	
i i	Falsification Major	Moderate Minor			a mana
	X		Percent 10	0%	a la prima madra
Matrix Notes		100% of the rule requiremen	t was not met.		
			Adjustment	\$9,000	
			>	O SA	\$1,000
Viola <sup>≁∷</sup> n Events					
VIOIA. TIEVEIIIS					
	Number of Violation Events	1	130 Number of violation	ı days	
•	English Schoolships and School				
	daily				
, •	monthly mark only one quarterly with an x semiannual annual		Violation	Base Penalty	\$1,000
	single event	X	•		1
·		One single event is recor	nmended.		
Economic Benefit	(EB) for this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
,	Estimated EB Amount	\$5	Violation Final	Penalty Total	\$1,020
		This violation Fin	al Assessed Penalty (adjust	ed for limite)	\$1,020
			Jan		Ψ1,020

The second desirable shall distribute to the second	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		TOWNS CONTROL AND REAL PARTY IS NOT A TABLE OF THE WORLD
Respondent	Jochum Schievi	ink dba Jochum S	chievink Dairy				•
Case ID No.	34594						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102754892						
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	-	and the second	100	e de pay	A STATE OF THE STATE OF		Taran San
활동성 그림은 그 그 그 그 그 그를						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs		ger at jengtjavan	av dym dym	Vincipal)			ELEGIALES NO
Equipment			F	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a.	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	4-May-2007	13-May-2008	1.0	\$5	n/a	\$5
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs			expected	date of	compliance.	on was due and the	
Disposal		OALIZE [1] avoid	Cu costs belole e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	·			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Screening Da	te 10-Sep-2007	Do	ocket No. 2007-1459-AGR-E	PC	W
Responde	<b>nt</b> Jochum Schie	evink dba Jochum Schievink [	Dairy	Policy Revision 2 (September	2002)
Case ID N				PCW Revision June 26,	2007
Reg. Ent. Reference N					
Media [Statut	_				
Enf. Coordinate Violation Numb	y				
Rule Cite	'e\				
	30 Tex. Adm	nin. Code § 321.44(b) and Ge	neral Permit No. TXG920211, Par	t III A.5.(c)	
Violation Description	Failed to tim were due to	be taken within 30 minutes fo	nauthorized discharge. Specifically allowing the initial discharge that o ere not taken until May 4, 2007.	y, samples ccurred on	And a command of the second of
		·	. Ва	ase Penalty \$10	,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human	Health Matrix			
The Control of the Co	elemental attendent (Ph. a. F.) in contact of a 1 or or or or or or 1 in 1.	Harm	enteriori de la martina de l'ambiente de conservatori de conservatori de la conservatori de la conservatori de Conservatori		l
Relea OR Actu		Moderate Minor			.
Potent	<u> </u>	X	Percent 5%	. ·	l
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Tercent 570	<u> </u>	
>>Programmatic Matrix				•	i
Falsification	on Major	Moderate Minor		<b>-</b>	
			Percent 0%		***************************************
			d to insignificant amounts of pollu an health or environmental recept	fors.	The state of the s
			Adjustment	\$9,500	ĺ
				\$	\$500
Violation Events		ia			
Number of	Violation Events		2 Number of violation d	love	
Number of	violation Events[		2 Number of violation d	ays	
	daily			•	İ
mark only or with an x	monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	· x	Violation Ba	ase Penalty \$	500
	→ - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·		
		One single event is reco	ommended.		
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit T	act	
s mont i manne ha seet i a liide meri implicationalismosteria della dell	- 100 Au			The Common and the Common of t	1.421
Estima	ted EB Amount	\$26	Violation Final Pe	enalty Total \$	510
		This violation F	inal Assessed Penalty (adjusted	f for limits) \$	510

	<u>-</u> -	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sneet		
		ink dba Jochum S	chievink Dairy				
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
Media	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	3					i ercent interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
얼마를 하다 하고 있다니다.	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description		Date Rogerica	, mai Date		mitcrest baved	Offettine Costs	EB AIIIOUIT
item pesculption	NO COMMAS OF 5	to the MR Alegan State			1 - 11 - 12 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 1		
Delayed Costs		na a jeza je	g green all eller			NT CONTROL CONT	
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings		,		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Land				0.0	\$0	in/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$200	3-May-2007	4-May-2007	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	www.n/a	\$0
							. au
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$500 The estimated	3-May-2007 I cost to sample a	13-May-2008 nd analyze the una	0.0	\$0 \$26	n/a n/a	\$0 \$26
	The estimated required is estimated cost	I cost to sample and the date the discharge to develop process	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure tha	0.0 1.0 authorize e final d at samp	\$0 \$26 ed discharge that of ate is the date the ling and analysis of	n/a	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date
Other (as needed)	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 authorize e final d at sampl and the	\$0 \$26 ed discharge that of ate is the date the ling and analysis of final date is the ex	n/a n/a occurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken. f discharges takes p pected date of comp	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance.
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 authorize e final d at sampl and the	\$0 \$26 ed discharge that of ate is the date the ling and analysis of final date is the ex	n/a n/a occurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken. f discharges takes p	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts)
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 authorize e final d at sampl and the	\$0 \$26 ad discharge that of ate is the date the ing and analysis of final date is the ex item (except for of	n/a n/a nccurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken f discharges takes p pected date of comp one-time avoided c	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance.
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 authorize e final deat sample and the ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$26  ad discharge that of the date is the date the ling and analysis of final date is the exitem (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a nccurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken. f discharges takes p pected date of comp one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts)
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 authorize e final de sample and the ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$26  ed discharge that of the line and analysis of final date is the exitem (except for company) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	\$0 \$26  ad discharge that of the date is the date the ling and analysis of final date is the exitem (except for of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	\$0 \$26  discharge that of the step in the	n/a n/a n/a nocurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken. f discharges takes p pected date of comp pone-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	\$0 \$26  ad discharge that of the date is the date the ling and analysis of final date is the exitem (except for of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	\$0 \$26  discharge that of the step in the	n/a n/a n/a nocurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken. f discharges takes p pected date of comp pone-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	\$0 \$26  discharge that of the step in the	n/a n/a n/a nocurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken. f discharges takes p pected date of comp pone-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	The estimated required is estimated cost require	I cost to sample and the date the dischart to develop proceed disthet the s	nd analyze the una arge bagan and the dures to ensure the amples were due	0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	\$0 \$26  discharge that of the step in the	n/a n/a n/a nocurred on May 3, 2 sample was taken. f discharges takes p pected date of comp pone-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$26 007. The date The lace. The date liance. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

# **Compliance History**

Rating: 0.43

TXG920211

Site Rating: 0.43

CN600795579 SCHIEVINK, JOCHUM Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: Classification: AVERAGE Regulated Entity: RN102754892 JOCHUM SCHIEVINK DAIRY Classification: AVERAGE ID Number(s): WASTEWATER AGRICULTURE PERMIT Location: LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF COUNTY ROAD 285 Rating Date: September 01 07 Repeat Violator: TWO MILES SOUTH OF THE INTERSECTION OF COUNTY ROAD 285 AND FARM-TO-MARKET ROAD 219, APPROXIMATELY TEN MILES SOUTHEAST OF DUBLIN IN **ERATH COUNTY, TEXAS REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX** TCEQ Region: Date Compliance History Prepared: September 05, 2007 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement September 05, 2002 to September 05, 2007 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Name: Samuel Short Phone: (512) 239-5363 **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. C. Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) 1 07/18/2003 (143829) 2 03/31/2004 (267281)3 08/31/2004 (260812)4 06/22/2005 (396211)5 08/23/2007 (573102)6 08/28/2007 (571995)Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Date: 08/28/2007 (571995)Self Report? Classification: Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.46(c)(1) Citation: Description: Failure to provide documentation of the liner documentation review and a site evaluation required every five years. Environmental audits. F. G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). Н. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A Early compliance.

J.

N/A Sites Outside of Texas N/A

# Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING JOCHUM SCHIEVINK DBA JOCHUM SCHIEVINK DAIRY; RN102754892

#### **BEFORE THE**

#### TEXAS COMMISSION ON

# ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

# AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1459-AGR-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Jochum Schievink dba Jochum Schievink Dairy ("Mr. Schievink") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and Mr. Schievink represented by Heath E. Allen of the law firm Law Office of Warren & Allen, appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Mr. Schievink owns and operates a concentrated animal feeding operation ("CAFO") located on the east side of County Road 285 approximately two miles south of the intersection of County Road 285 and Farm-to-Market Road 219, approximately ten miles southeast of Dublin, Erath County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26 and the TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and Mr. Schievink agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Mr. Schievink is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Mr. Schievink received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about August 29, 2007.

- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Mr. Schievink of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- An administrative penalty in the amount of two thousand five hundred fifty dollars 6. (\$2,550.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Mr. Schievink has paid two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of two thousand three hundred dollars (\$2,300.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 10 monthly payments of two hundred thirty dollars (\$230.00) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If Mr. Schievink fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of Mr. Schievink to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Mr. Schievink to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.
- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Mr. Schievink have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Schievink has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

Mr. Schievink is alleged to have violated:

- 1. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.31(a) and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a) by failing to prevent the unauthorized discharge of agricultural wastewater from a CAFO. Specifically, the investigator documented a discharge of wastewater from a retention control structure ("RCS") on the Site as documented on May 3, 2007.
- 2. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.44(a) and General Permit No. TXG920211, Part IV B.5. by failing to orally notify the executive director and appropriate regional office within 24 hours of a discharge from a CAFO. Specifically, the investigator documented a discharge of wastewater at the Site and no notification was received as documented on May 3, 2007.
- 3. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.44(b) and General Permit No. TXG920211, Part III A.5.(c) by failing to timely sample and analyze an unauthorized discharge. Specifically, samples were due to be taken within 30 minutes following the initial discharge that occurred on May 3, 2007, as documented on that date, and samples were not taken until May 4, 2007.

#### III. DENIALS

Mr. Schievink generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDER

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Mr. Schievink pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Schievink's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Jochum Schievink dba Jochum Schievink Dairy, Docket No. 2007-1459-AGR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Mr. Schievink shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order Mr. Schievink shall develop and implement procedures to ensure that discharges are reported in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.44 and General Permit No. TXG920211;
  - b. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order Mr. Schievink shall develop and implement procedures to ensure that sampling and analysis requirements are done in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.44 and General Permit No. TXG920211;
  - c. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order Mr. Schievink shall dewater the RCS and restore the rainfall capacity of the RCS; and
  - d. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order Mr. Schievink shall submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c.

The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Sid Slocum, Water Section, Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2301 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Schievink. Mr. Schievink is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If Mr. Schievink fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Schievink's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Mr. Schievink shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Schievink shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Schievink becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Schievink shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Schievink receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Mr. Schievink in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be

transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.

8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Mr. Schievink, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Mr. Schievink, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized representative of

Jochum Schievink dba Jochum Schievink Dairy

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Almeron Perlu	6/25/08
For the Executive Director	Date
authorized to agree to the attached Agreed signature, and I do agree to the terms and co	tand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my onditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the ty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
my failure to timely pay the penalty amount.  • A negative impact on my compliant.	ce history;
<ul> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permit appl</li> <li>Referral of this case to the Attornational penalties, and/or attorned</li> </ul>	rney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief,
•	aforcement actions against me; General's Office of any future enforcement actions against
me; and  TCEQ seeking other relief as author In addition, any alsification of any complete.	orized by law.  iance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
	4-25-08

Date